

I am concerned that Sinclair Broadcasting is being unlawful in its decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election. It is a clear use of public property to advance the political opinions of the Republican Party without having to legally disclose it's funding and approval like any other political ad.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.